



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/662,164 09/02/2003		Keh-Shin F. Cheng	BOC9-2002-0032 (327)	1554	
40987	7590	05/18/2006		EXAMINER	
AKERMA	N SENTE	ERFITT	NGUYEN, MAIKHANH		
P. O. BOX 3188 WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402-3188			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2176	
				DATE MAILED: 05/18/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/662,164	CHENG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Maikhanh Nguyen	2176			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MORE IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MORE IN THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. viely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ma	arch 2006.	•			
2a) <u></u>						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims		•			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-8 and 22-29 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-21 and 30-42 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8 and 22-29 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	withdrawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) <u>□</u> 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 September 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
			•			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infon	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date February 09, 2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: Election filed 03/07/2006 to the original application filed 09/02/2003.
- 2. Claims 1-8 and 22-29 are elected for examination. Claims 9-21 and 30-42 are withdrawn from consideration. Claims 1 and 22 are independent claims.
- 3. Applicant is required to cancel non-elected claims 9-21 and 30-42 in the next response to this Office action.

Election/Restrictions

4. Applicant's election of group I, claims 1-8 and 22-29 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP \S 818.03(a)).

Application/Control Number: 10/662,164

Art Unit: 2176

Information Disclosure Statement

5. The Applicants' Information Disclosure Statement, filed February 09, 2004, has been received, entered into the record, and considered. See attached form PTO 1449.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1-8 and 22-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gonzalez (US 2004/0215624 A1, filed 05/2004, which is division of application No. 09/791,440, filed 02/2001).

As to claim 1:

Gonzalez teaches a method for storing (e.g., storing) electronic documents (e.g., websites) [see the Abstract and the discussion beginning at \P 0014] comprising the steps of:

Application/Control Number: 10/662,164 Page 4

Art Unit: 2176

 associating an associative object (e.g., digital label) with at least one electronic document (e.g., a website) [see the digital labels and websites discussion beginning at ¶ 0034];

- displaying an image within a user interface, wherein the image is a user selectable representation for the associative object (e.g., The user is presented with a display... The user is then presented with several choices... when clicked or checked, immediately proceed to the next appropriate page without the necessity of clicking a Next button... The subscriber can then verify all previous answers (FIG. 4k), and click a Submit Listing) [see figs.4a-4k and the discussion beginning at ¶ 0074];
- storing at least one metadata attribute (e.g., something which identifies contents, or provides information...conveying unambiguous qualitative data about an item, its maker, or its owner, in digital form are created) as a characteristic (e.g., characteristics) related to the associative object [see ¶¶ 0034, 0035, 0090, and 0107]; and
- modifying a storage characteristic of the at least one electronic document based on one of the metadata attributes (e.g., Upon completion of the question sequence, the Host Website computer would request verification, create an identifier code for the website being labeled, ask for a password from the user, and then complete the process by automatically recording all the appropriate digital labels in its database. With the passwords, entities could later amend their labels online see ¶ 0036).

As to claim 2:

Gonzalez teaches a digital seal (e.g., digital label) [see the Abstract and $\P\P$ 0002, 0014, and 0024].

As to claim 3:

Gonzalez teaches presenting at least one multimedia object within the user interface, wherein the multimedia object is a user selectable representation for the associative object (e.g., The user is presented with a display... The user is then presented with several choices... when clicked or checked, immediately proceed to the next appropriate page without the necessity of clicking a Next button... The subscriber can then verify all previous answers (FIG. 4k), and click a Submit Listing) [see figs.4a-4k and the discussion beginning at ¶ 0074].

As to claim 4:

Gonzalez teaches requiring an authorization code previously specified within one of the metadata attributes before allowing the at least one electronic document to be retrieved (e.g., the Host Website computer would request verification, create an identifier code for the website being labeled, ask for a password from the user, and then complete the process by automatically recording all the appropriate digital labels in its database) [see the discussion beginning at \P 0036].

Application/Control Number: 10/662,164 Page 6

Art Unit: 2176

As to claim 5:

Gonzalez teaches the authorization code is valid for a first user and wherein the authorization code is invalid for a second user (e.g., a password is used to uniquely identify a user; therefore, the password assigned for a first user is invalid for a second

As to claim 6:

user) [see the discussion beginning at \P 0036].

Gonzalez teaches associating a second associative object with one of the at least one electronic document (e.g., several Host Websites could be established, one for each specified subject. Owners of websites (subscribers) would be free to select which of these, or how many of these, to be digitally labeled on) [see the discussion beginning at ¶ 0036].

As to claim 7:

Gonzalez teaches wherein the associative object includes an authorization code, and wherein the second associative object includes a second authorization code, the method further comprising the step of: requiring the first authorization code and the second authorization code before the one of at least one electronic document can be retrieved (e.g., the Host Website computer would request verification...ask for a password from the user) [see the discussion beginning at ¶ 0036].

Application/Control Number: 10/662,164 Page 7

Art Unit: 2176

As to claim 8:

Gonzalez teaches establishing a first authorization code for the associative object (e.g., the Host Website computer would request verification, create an identifier code for the website being labeled, ask for a password from the user, and then complete the process by automatically recording all the appropriate digital labels in its database) [see the discussion beginning at ¶ 0036], wherein the first authorization code is associated with a first image; encoding the at least one associated electronic documents with a second authorization code associated with a second image; and, decoding the at least one associated electronic documents using at least in part the first image (e.g., The user is presented with a display... The user is then presented with several choices... when clicked or checked, immediately proceed to the next appropriate page without the necessity of clicking a Next button... The subscriber can then verify all previous answers (FIG. 4k), and click a Submit Listing) [see figs.4a-4k and the discussion beginning at ¶ 0074].

As to claims 22-29:

Note the rejections of claims 1-8 above. Claims 22-29 are the same as claims 1-8, except claims 22-29 are machine readable storage claims and claims 1-8 are method claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2176

U.S. Pat. No. 5,673,316 Issued: Sep. 30, 1997 Auerbach et al.

Issued: Jan. 15, 2002 Pensak et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6,339,825

Pensak et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6,449,721 Issued: Sep. 10, 2002

Pavlik U.S. Pat. No. 6,807,633 Issued: Oct. 19, 2004

Wall U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0091927 A1 Pub. Date: Jul. 11, 2002

PageRcall: The Key to Document Protection, Authentica, Inc., Whitepaper, 2003,

pp. 1-8.

Contact information

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maikhanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00am - 5:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached at (571) 272-4136.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

MN